

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,245	02/24/2004	Ding-Chou Wang	MR957-1464	1206	
4586	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	G, KLEIN & LEE		SPISICH, MARK		
	OTT CENTER DRIVE-SU CITY, MD 21043	ITE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			UD_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,245	WANG, DING-CHOU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Spisich	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un-	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		t received.	
Attachment(s)		•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/784,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solanki et al (USP 6,314,605). The patent to Solanki discloses a cleaning brush comprising a handle (4) and a heat part (1) and further wherein the head part (with particular reference to figs 2A and 2B) includes a plurality of bristled sections separated by a plurality of elastomeric sections (9) (column 10, lines 57-59). The side view shown in figure 2B appears to teach both short and long bristles.
- 3. Claims 1,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kramer (USP 6,327,735). The patent to Kramer discloses a cleaning brush comprising a handle (6) and a head part including a plurality of elastomeric sections (18,19) dividing the head part into distinct bristled portions (10,12).
- 4. Claims 1,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kramer (USP 6,185,779). The patent to Kramer discloses a cleaning brush comprising a handle (1) and a head (2) including a plurality of elastic sections dividing the head into a plurality of brush sections (2A-2E) which elastic section are defined by a plurality of "chasms" (8) infilled with an elastomeric material (9) (column 6, lines 16-17).

Application/Control Number: 10/784,245 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer (USP 6,185,779) in view of de La Tour (USP 4,731,896). With reference to the embodiment of figure 7, the elastic sections are configured to define both a long side (8B) and a short side (8A) such that the head would tend to flex in a particular direction (column 8, lines 8-11). The patent to Kramer discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the short and long bristles. The patent to de La Tour discloses a (tooth) cleaning brush including short (33) and long (31) bristles on opposite faces of the head thereof (see fig 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the brush of Kramer as such so as to provide a diverse cleaning action.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited patents are each pertinent to brushes having brush section with a flexible section therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744